FILED
Court of Appeals
Division III
State of Washington
7/15/2024 12:17 PM

FILED SUPREME COURT STATE OF WASHINGTON 7/18/2024 BY ERIN L. LENNON CLERK

Case #: 1030207

No. 39615-1

COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION III OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

BRENDA ADAMS, et al, Appellant, Plainhiff

V.

CONFLUENCE HEALTH, Respondent, Defendant

APPELLANT'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

LACY KANE & KUBE, P.S.

PAUL S. KUBE, WSBA #24336 Attorney for Appellant/Plaintiff 300 Eastmont Avenue East Wenatchee, WA 98802 (509) 884-9541

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page #:</u>
I.	Table of Authorities	ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Washington Case	
Health Freedom Def. Fund v. Carvalho, 22-55908 (9th Cir. 07, 2024)	
Supreme Court Case Jacobsen v. Massachusetts, 197 US11 (1905)	1, 2

I. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY

The Appellant submits this additional authority in support of Appellant's Petition for Discretionary Review to provide the Court with a recent decision.

II. CITATION

Health Freedom Def. Fund v. Carvalho, 22-559\(0)8 (9\)th Cir. Jun \(0.7\), 2\(0.24\).

III. ARGUMENT

The Respondent in this case relied on the proclamation by Governor Inslee mandating injection by health care workers of what the governor referred to as COVID "vaccines." The Respondent clearly interpreted that mandate to require barring the Appellants from patient care. The decision in *Health Freedom Def. Fund v. Carvalho*, 22-55908 (9th Cir. Jun 07, 2024), at page 17-19 holds that *Jacobsen v. Massachusetts*, 197 US11 (1905), the 1905 smallpox vaccine mandate case relied upon to support a state's right to mandate vaccines pursuant to its police power, does not control COVID-19 mandate

challenges. *Jacobsen* is distinguished on the basis that the COVID injections were not traditional vaccines, but rather medical treatments which do not prevent the acquisition or spread of the disease. Appellants' claim their right to make their own healthcare choices should not have been "trumped" by reliance on *Jacobsen*.

In his concurring opinion in *Health Freedom Fund*, Circuit Judge Collins powerfully outlines a line of US Supreme Court authority, at pp. 24-25, supporting the Appellants' principle argument that, in fact, it is a fundamental constitutional right of every competent person to refuse unwanted medical treatment. This is consistent with Appellants' argument

Finally, Appellants have consistently alleged that their fundamental right to refuse unwanted medical care cannot be distinguished in this case since they worked for a private employer. As earlier argued, this private employer based its decision on state action, and more importantly, in Washington, employers are privately liable for contravention of public policy.

A helpful discussion of when a private employer can be considered an "arm of the state" is found in *Health Freedom* at pp. 20-23.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Court should grant discretionary review in this matter.

I certify the number of words contained in this document is 334.

s/Paul S. Kube

WSBA #24336 Lacy Kane & Kube, P.S. 300 Eastmont Avenue East Wenatchee, WA 98802

Telephone: 509-884-9541

Fax: 509-884-4805

Email: paul@lacykane.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this <u>15th</u> day of July 2024, I caused to be served via e-service a true and correct copy of *Appellant's Statement of Additional Authorities in Support of Appellants' Petition for Discretionary Review* to:

Jeffrey A. James Sebris Busto James 15375 SE 30th Pl. Ste 310 Bellevue, WA 98007 jjames@sbj.law

s/Paul S. Kube

WSBA #24336 Lacy Kane & Kube, P.S. 300 Eastmont Avenue East Wenatchee, WA 98802 Telephone: 509-884-9541

Fax: 509-884-4805

Email: paul@lacykane.com

LACY KANE & KUBE, P.S.

July 15, 2024 - 12:17 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Court of Appeals Division III

Appellate Court Case Number: 39615-1

Appellate Court Case Title: Brenda Adams, et al v. Confluence Health

Superior Court Case Number: 22-2-00104-3

The following documents have been uploaded:

• 396151 Other Filings 20240715121356D3094547 8702.pdf

This File Contains:
Other Filings - Other

The Original File Name was Additional Authorities re Petition for Discretionary Review.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

• jjames@sbj.law

• steve@lacykane.com

Comments:

Appellant's Statement of Additional Authorities in Support of Appellant's Petition for Discretionary Review

Sender Name: Lisa Russell - Email: lisa@lacykane.com

Filing on Behalf of: Paul S Kube - Email: paul@lacykane.com (Alternate Email: lisa@lacykane.com)

Address:

300 Eastmont Ave.

East Wenatchee, WA, 98802

Phone: (509) 884-9541

Note: The Filing Id is 20240715121356D3094547